

CANCER AND CONSPIRACY

(Continued from Page 3)

and of all the men to whom I have talked, I publicly pay my respect to the Sloan-Kettering Foundation and their great pathologist and director, Dr. Rhoads, who said, "Senator, I cannot approve the Hoxsey method, but neither can I condemn it. I do not know what is in it." At least he was fair. . . .

Cancer is a disease of the blood. You may cut all the cancers you want. Go down the list of your neighbors, and they are all alike. Take a woman's breast and cut the cancer off. You have the object, but you do not have the cause. It is still there.

What happens? In another month or two it is in the other breast, then her spine and her hips, and then in her lungs. Cancer has favorite spots. In the male body it has three: lungs, rectum and prostate glands. In the female, it is the cervix and breasts. Cancer, like a grass fire, goes everywhere from there.

Mr. President, medical men know they are not curing cancer. I am only asking you gentlemen to consider the bill that I am about to introduce dealing with this subject. . . .

The Fitz Gerald Report

Mr. President, when I dictated my stories, I started making additional copies for various newspapers, and the *Altoona Tribune* carried what I wrote. One day a man on this paper called me and said, "Senator, could I meet you some place? I want to talk to you about your articles."

We met midway between Patton and Altoona. He said, "I am sorry, but we cannot use your articles any longer." I said, "Why?" He said, "The A.M.A. called my boss and said that if we dare print one more of these stories, our national advertising, toothpaste, Listerine, and so on, would be taken out of our paper." Mr. President, that was the last of the articles.

I would like to call attention to a report from that great American attorney, Benedict F. FitzGerald, Jr., who was a former prosecutor in the Department of Justice. This report was directed to Senator John W. Bricker and members of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the United States Senate, as a progress report on a study of the need for investigation of cancer research organizations, requested by the late Senator Charles W. Tobey.

What did he say? Mr. FitzGerald said that the American Medical Association would be rocked to its foundations if the American people learned the truth. After Senator Tobey died, Senator Bricker stopped the investigation.

Charles W. Tobey, Jr., says: "Immediately following my father's death, powerful forces in organized medicine brought pressure to bear in Washington and this important investigation was stopped, and Mr. FitzGerald was summarily discharged."

Bill Introduced

Mr. President, a Hoxsey Cancer Clinic will open its doors in Portage, Cambria County, Pennsylvania, on March 7th. There will be an open house from the first to the seventh, and I am inviting anyone who may be interested, M.D.'s or otherwise, to check the facilities, what we have here, and what we hope to do for the people of the eastern seaboard. . . .

I am introducing a bill, Mr. President, asking for this body to send down to Dallas, Texas five Senators with open minds and five House Members. Dr. Hoxsey has agreed that they should go there at his expense. They will meet people from all parts of the world. They can check pathological reports.

Mr. President, this group of men will come back then, to make their own report. Let them just tell this Senate and tell this Commonwealth what they find. We want no gratuities; we want no favors. We only want to treat suffering humanity so that your sisters, like mine, can live; so your Kathy, like Mr. Allison's, can live; so that your son, like Mr. Metzger's, can go to college and graduate, and many, many others. I could give you case after case. . . .

Thereupon, at the close of Senator Haluska's speech, the following entry was made in the *Pennsylvania Legislative Journal of February 7, 1955, Volume 34, Number 10*:

"MR. HALUSKA read in his place and presented to the Chair Senate Bill No. 89, entitled: 'Bill Introduced and Referred . . . An Act creating a committee to investigate the facilities offered and the claims for cures of cancer effected by the Hoxsey Cancer Clinic at Dallas, Texas; making an appropriation.

"Which was committed to the Committee on Public Health and Welfare."

In April 1954 ten doctors spent five days, April 8 to 12, 1954 at the Hoxsey Clinic in Dallas, where they inspected the facilities and examined 21 former patients, who were cured of cancer after being pronounced "incurable" by their doctors. We only have space for the testimony of two of these cases. These doctors went to Dallas expecting to discredit the Hoxsey treatment, but ended their investigation by signing a statement fully endorsing the institution. The final words of the statement reads:

"We, as a Committee, feel that the Hoxsey treatment is superior to such conventional methods of treatment as X-ray, radium and surgery. We are willing to use it in our office, in our practice on our own patients when, at our discretion, it is deemed necessary.

"The above statement represents the unanimous findings of this Committee. In testimony thereof we hereby attach our signatures."

(signed by)

S. Edgar Bond, M.D., Richmond, Indiana
E. E. Loffler, M.D., Spokane, Washington
H. B. Mueller, M.D., Cleveland, Ohio
A. C. Timbs, M.D., Knoxville, Tennessee
Hans Kalm, M.D., Aiken, South Carolina
Roy O. Yeatts, M.D., Harden, Montana
R. C. Bowie, M.D., Fort Morgan, Colorado
Frederick H. Thurston, M.D., D.O., Boise, Idaho
Willard D. Palmer, M.D., Seattle, Washington
Benjamin F. Bowers, M.D., Evansburg, Pennsylvania

Available space will only permit dealing with the Hoxsey Cancer treatment. However, the Dr. William Frederick Koch treatment is also curing many of cancer. Dr. Koch has also been persecuted by Dr. Morris Fishbein, who directed the American Medical Association for more than 25 years.

Further information on the Dr. Hoxsey Cancer treatment to follow in a subsequent issue of *Common Sense*.

SLUM CLEARANCE

By M. CONAN, Phoenix, Ariz.

Along in the thirties, after the birth of the New Deal, a Housing Act was drawn up by President Roosevelt's "Brain Trust" in Washington. This act was known as the USHA, and was rushed through house and senate so that all America might benefit (?) through this government housing program. Thus another heavy burden was placed on the already sagging shoulders of our tax-payers, and, we may well add, another source of supply for political parasites was created.

But, since we had plenty of housing, another scheme took shape which was to create a scarcity of houses. Rent Control, which may be well called "America's shame" was born. Landlords were forced to price their rents at such ridiculously low prices they could not make a profit, and had to quit the rental business. That was just what the hidden enemy desired.

So, under pretense of helping "low income groups" and acting under the influence of this unseen enemy of the American way of life, our government erected thousands of Housing Projects in our nation. These houses were rented for practically nothing, included all utilities. It being impossible for private enterprise to compete with the government, landlords went to the wall, and the Government houses were filled with tenants. The government was in the rental game and on our money!

What is the connection between Government Housing and Slum Clearance? Why, through this slum clearance scheme thousands of houses whose owners cannot comply with unreasonable regulations in Housing Code, will be demolished, thus again causing a housing shortage. Again our solicitous government steps into the picture; erects thousands more housing units. Soon all houses will be owned by the government. There will be no more private ownership.

The rules contained in the Building Code of Slum Clearance were not inserted through any accident, or framed by some one not familiar with carpentry and masonry, as one might think, but by planners who well knew such rules would be impossible for owners to comply with. The Slum Clearance Code for houses was framed with malice aforethought; done purposely so that owners would be compelled to sell or demolish their buildings. Such cunning is seldom met with even in this age of cupidity. No it was no accident; it was done deliberately. These planners also knew such an inhuman act would cause millions of persons to be thrown out of their homes which the government ordered closed; and that much suffering would ensue until Government Housing Units could be built to accommodate the people, but that did not deter them in their evil purpose; that purpose being to do away with private ownership.

But where does the government get the money to build these projects. "Federal funds" we are told. Who supplies these funds? Our taxes make them up, of course. Where else? And we must not forget that the government does not pay taxes. It would be silly for our government to tax itself, wouldn't it? So government owned projects being exempt, it means private property must keep up these projects and pay school taxes on children of parents occupying them. Also more money must come out of our pocket for upkeep and repairs from time to time. Thus

we are forced to sharpen the knife to cut our own throat. Not only must we pay taxes on our own property, if we can still hold on to it, but make up the loss of taxes on the government property! Neither should we forget that many of these projects will be occupied by old age pensioners and people on relief. This means private owners must be taxed to pay rent and supply all the needs of the government tenants who cannot afford to take care of themselves!

In all government projects the only ones benefited are the administrationists. Poor people, or "low income groups" are not helped in the least, but encouraged to become lazy and shiftless. Why concern yourself about the future when the government will feed, clothe and house you? Thus our morale sinks lower and lower.

Under "Slum Clearance" as this Communist scheme is called, millions of independent property owners will be stripped of all they possess. Our Constitution guarantees us "Life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness", but those precepts being ignored, it will mean many will lose faith in our government, and perhaps, even take to communism from sheer disillusionment. This is something we never want to see — our own government destroying confidence in itself among its loyal citizens.

Property owners make good citizens; they are loyal and work to build up their community.

If we want to build a strong, safe nation, then private ownership should be encouraged if at all possible. Our government should not deprive anyone of his rights to own a home or other property. As it now stands the U. S. Government owns 24% of all the land in our nation. These figures are from Statistics of 1953.

God approves of private ownership. Doesn't the Bible say "Every man shall sit under his own fig-tree"? Nowhere does it say "under a government owned fig-tree". Also read Isaiah 65: 21, "They shall build houses and inhabit them". And we know He disapproves of confiscation of property for in the following verse, same chapter, we find, "They shall not build and another inhabit."

If after reading the above, anyone doubts that Slum Clearance is communistic in origin, let him go to his public library and get a copy of William Z. Foster's book, "Toward a Soviet America". In Mr. Foster's book he tells us there are seven points to communizing America; the first being Rent Control and the second Public Housing. I think that will open the eyes of our people as to what and who is back of Slum Clearance.

The Congressional Record of March 22, 1955 states that there are 450,000 Housing Units across the Country and more building is in progress. The Hoover Commission Report of February 1955 says the government has already spent \$55,786,358,000 on aid to Housing.

Are Marxists directing our Government on the road to taking over all property? Are we to have Communism through the back door?

Common Sense *

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

If you want to be a big help in the fight to save your country and to preserve your own liberty and freedoms, your best bet at this critical moment is to get on the job and help to boost the circulation of *Common Sense* up to a million copies. When the Americans are correctly and completely informed on any subject they will always do the right thing at the right time. MORE distribution and MORE subscriptions in your community may be the "straw that breaks the camel's back."

Purchase copies of *Common Sense*.

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500
One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50
First Class Sealed \$3.00 per year
Foreign and Canada \$1.00 ten mos.

Common Sense *

Published twice monthly at

530 Chestnut Street, Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

Entered as Second Class Matter January 27, 1948 at the Post Office at Union, N. J., under the act of March 3, 1879.